Entered on Docket
December 20, 2021

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Signed and Filed: December 20, 2021

In re:

Clevis Montal.

DENNIS MONTALIU.S. Bankruptcy Judge

) Bankruptcy Case

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

•	No. 19-30088-DM
PG&E CORPORATION,)	~1.1
- and -)	Chapter 11
) PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)	Jointly Administered
Reorganized Debtors.)	Date: December 21, 2021 Time: 10:00 AM www.canb.uscourts.gov/calendars
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation) ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Delectric Company) ☐ Affects both Debtors)	
* All papers shall be filed in) the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)	

CORRECTED TENTATIVE RULING ON MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM PLAN INJUNCTION AND PARTIAL OBJECTION TO CLAIM

The court has reviewed the Motion For Relief From Plan
Injunction (Dkt 11535) filed by Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

("Tiger"), Reorganized Debtors' Opposition (Dkt 11672), Tiger's
Reply (Dkt 11692) and all related submissions. It has also

reviewed Debtors' Partial Objection To Proof Of Claim No. 64095 (the "Objection") (Dkt 11700).

Tentatively the court will GRANT the Motion and also will DROP the Objection from the January 18, 2022, 10:00 AM calendar without waiting for a response to the Objection from Tiger.

Counsel for Tiger, in the Reply, uses the term
"unabashedly" to describe Debtors' admitted forum shopping and
refers to a "cynical attempt by PG&E to use the bankruptcy
process to string Tiger along". The court is not inclined to
be so charitable when describing Debtors' tactics. The
Debtors' Opposition and now its addition of the Objection, are,
to put it bluntly, unseemly and blatant judge shopping. They
will not be tolerated.

This court does not need to run a box score to count the Curtis factors to make an easy call on this one. The history of the Tiger litigation, the involvement of three district judges and one magistrate judge for years (as described more accurately and completely by Tiger than by Debtors) and the interplay between the Sherman Act count and the other claims asserted by Tiger in the district court action speak volumes.

Finally, Debtors' suggestion that Judge White would not have a clear path to resolution because Debtors would need to move for bifurcation under FRCP 42 borders on the frivolous. If Debtors are so confident that they could prevail via summary

judgment after discovery in this court, they can move, after the same effort, for partial summary judgment in Judge White's court.

If Debtors accept this tentative ruling, their counsel should notify Tiger's counsel and the courtroom deputy no later than 4:00 PM on December 20, 2021. In that case, the Motion will be dropped from the December 21, 2021 calendar and the Objection will be dropped from the January 22, 2022, 10:00 AM calendar. Counsel for Tiger should then prepare and upload an order GRANTING the Motion for the reasons stated in this Tentative Ruling.

END OF TENTATIVE RULING

-3-

COURT SERVICE LIST

2 ECF only

-4-

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11714 Filed: 12/20/21 Entered: 12/20/21 10:09:02 Page 4 of 4